

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/923,768	08/06/2001	Edward G. Callway	00100.00.0820	9391	
29153 ADVANCED	7590 12/08/2008 MICRO DEVICES, INC.		EXAM	EXAMINER	
C/O VEDDER PRICE P.C. 222 N.LASALLE STREET			VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P		
CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2424		
			MAIL DATE 12/08/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

	MICHAEL VAN HANDEL	2424				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Michael Van Handel.	(3) Jim Tolliver.					
(2) <u>Chris Kelley</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>02 December 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t) applicant's representative)				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>15</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hannah (US 6,771,704)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed differences between motion vectors and graphics drawing commands. The examiner pointed to various places in the specification that may have subject matter that would overcome the prior art if claimed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERCIDO OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Chris Kelley/					